Performance Measures - Objectives

Distribution

Assistant Chief of Engineering Division

Chief of Design Branch

Chief of Engineering Division

Chief of Engineering Support Branch

Chief of Geotechnical and Environmental Engineering Branch

Engineering Division Management Representative

Resource Provider

EQS Document Controller

Ownership

The Chief of Engineering Division [Thomas.E.Trainer@usace.army.mil?subject=REFA02L0-Performance Measures - Objectives] is responsible for ensuring that this document is necessary and that it reflects actual practice.

Performance Measures - Objectives

We pledge to implement the following performance measures to obtain the specified objectives:

Metric Name: Scope of Service/Project

Purpose: To assure that customer requirements are properly developed, documented, and understood before a final agreement is reached on services and/or product to be provided.

What are we measuring: Each section will obtain a SOS for all projects with funded labor charge codes requiring more than \$5,000 per task. Measurement is (Number of SOS/Number of projects) as a percentage.

This metric rates the effectiveness of ED efforts to comply with the requirements of the PMBP <u>Project Scope and Customer Requirements Definition [PROC2010]</u> and our EQS procedure Contract Review [PROP01L0], in accordance with the ISO 9001:2000 standard.

Elements of the ISO 9001:2000 are as follows:

- 5.2 Customer focus
- 7.2.1 Determination of requirements related to the product
- 7.2.2 Review of requirements related to the product

- 7.2.3 Customer communication

Goal: The acceptable goal for SOS/Project metric is 90%. The goal to also show acceptable continuous improvement on SOS/Project metric is an improvement of at least half of the differential between the current percentage of SOS/project and 90%.

Improvement goal = $50\% \le (90\%\text{-current}\%)/2$

When SOS/project metric is over 90%, the improvement goal will be to maintain a positive change.

Measurement shall be taken on a quarterly basis and data shall be reported at the subsequent Management Review Meeting. Branch Chiefs will evaluate and report the reasons for a section failing to achieve the improvement goal at the next Management Review Meeting. Branch Chiefs will counsel the Section Chief to develop a corrective action plan when failing the improvement goals two consecutive times. Branch Chiefs will report the corrective action plan for a section failing to achieve the improvement goal at the next Management Review Meeting.

Thomas E. Trainer, PE	Shigeru Fujitani, RA
John R. Hess, PE	Maryellen Mackenzie
John R. 11055, 1 L	Wai yelleli Waekelizie
Ronald F. Muller, PE	Peter Valentine
Frank Y. F. Fong, PE	Edward A. Ketchum, PE
Flank I. F. Folig, FE	Edward A. Ketchulli, PE

Roger C. Henderson, PE	Ben Gompers
John Esparza	John M. Carroll, Jr., PE
Richard F. Meagher, PE	Thomas E. Nissen, PE
Rick L. Poeppelman, PE	Ralph F. Buell, RA
Paul E. Pugner, PE	Rex W. Simmons
Richard A. Torbik, PE	Stephen E. Stoner, PE
Richard A. Britzman, PE	Steven P. Freitas, PE